



October 29, 2001

Ms. Kristi LaRoe  
Assistant District Attorney  
County of Tarrant  
401 W. Belknap  
Fort Worth, Texas 76196-0201

OR2001-4917

Dear Ms. LaRoe:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 154074.

The Tarrant County Sheriff's Department (the "department") received a written request for records pertaining to "any dispatch calls" made to two particular addresses. You contend that the requested information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101 and 552.108 of the Government Code.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code protects "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision," including information coming within the common law right to privacy. *Industrial Found. v. Texas Indus. Accident Bd.*, 540 S.W.2d 668 (Tex. 1976), *cert. denied*, 430 U.S. 931 (1977). Common law privacy protects information if it is highly intimate or embarrassing, such that its release would be highly objectionable to a reasonable person, *and* it is of no legitimate concern to the public. *Id.* at 683-85.

We generally agree with your contention that where an individual's criminal history information has been compiled by a governmental entity, the information takes on a character that implicates the individual's right to privacy. *See United States Dep't of Justice v. Reporters Comm. for Freedom of the Press*, 489 U.S. 749 (1989). Similarly, open records decisions issued by this office acknowledge this privacy interest. *See Open Records Decision Nos. 616 (1993), 565 (1990)*. In this instance, however, the requestor has not asked that the department compile any particular individual's criminal history. Rather, the requestor merely seeks records pertaining to all calls made by the department to two particular addresses. We conclude, therefore, that no individual's right to privacy is implicated in this instance. Consequently, none of the information at issue is excepted from public disclosure under section 552.101.

Section 552.108(b)(2) of the Government Code excepts from public disclosure “[a]n internal record or notation of a law enforcement agency or prosecutor that is maintained for internal use in matters relating to law enforcement or prosecution,” but only where “an investigation . . . did not result in conviction or deferred adjudication.” You have submitted to this office as responsive to the request five offense reports. You informed this office that all of these investigations have concluded and did not result in a conviction or deferred adjudication. We therefore conclude that the department may withhold most of the information at issue in the offense reports pursuant to section 552.108(b)(2).

Section 552.108 does not, however, except from required public disclosure “basic information about an arrested person, an arrest, or a crime.” Gov’t Code § 552.108(c). We therefore conclude that the department must release all basic information from each of the offense reports, including a *detailed* description of each offense, in accordance with *Houston Chronicle Publishing Company v. City of Houston*, 531 S.W.2d 177 (Tex. Civ. App.--Houston [14th Dist.] 1975), *writ ref’d n.r.e. per curiam*, 536 S.W.2d 559 (Tex. 1976). The department may withhold the remaining information at issue pursuant to section 552.108(a)(2) of the Government Code.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov’t Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).


If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body’s intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general’s Open Government Hotline, toll free, at 877/673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Department of Public Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.--Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the General Services Commission at 512/475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



J. Steven Bohl  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

JSB/rwp/sdk

Ref: ID# 154074

Enc: Submitted documents

c: Ms. Lisa Stence  
5276 Shelby Road  
Fort Worth, Texas 76140  
(w/o enclosures)